

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCH United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,979	12/05/2001	Michael F. Laub	17712 (MHM 13238US02)	9236
. 75	90 05/15/2003			
Tyco Electronics Corporation 307 Constitution Drive, MS R20/2B Menlo Park, CA 94025			EXAMINER	NER
			PAUMEN,	PAUMEN, GARY F
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
•	•		DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/004,979

Applicant(s)

Laub et al

## Advisory Action

Examiner

Gary Paumen

Art Unit 2833

	The second of the communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Therefore rejection allower	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address PLY FILED May 6, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  by the section by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final of under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for the section of the se
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) [	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
exte appi set mail	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate nsion fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The opriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally n the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🛛	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>May 6, 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) [	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
3. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \( \overline{\text{e}}} fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.}}}
<b>4</b> . □ <b>5</b> . ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \( \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{equest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  the '712 reference clearly discloses a displacement beam which pierces the outer braid of the cable  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
<b>4</b> . □ <b>5</b> . ☒ <b>6</b> . □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  the '712 reference clearly discloses a displacement beam which pierces the outer braid of the cable  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For oursees of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an
<b>4</b> . □ <b>5</b> . ☒ <b>6</b> . □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \( \omega \) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  the '712 reference clearly discloses a displacement beam which pierces the outer braid of the cable  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) \( \omega \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: 17-19 and 24-31
<b>4</b> . □ <b>5</b> . ☒ <b>6</b> . □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
<b>4</b> . □ <b>5</b> . ☒ <b>6</b> . □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \text{\tex
<b>4</b> . □ <b>5</b> . ☒ <b>6</b> . □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \text{\tex
<b>4</b> . □ <b>5</b> . ☒ <b>6</b> . □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. ☒ 6. □ 7. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \text{\tex